# Condensation of organic bromides with vinyl compounds catalysed by nickel complexes in the presence of zinc

S.A. Lebedev\*, V.S. Lopatina, E.S. Petrov, and I.P. Beletskaya

L. Ya. Karpov Institute of Physical Chemistry, 107120, Moscow, Obuha str., 10 (U.S.S.R.) (Received September 24th, 1987)

# Abstract

The catalytic system  $(Ph_3P)_2NiCl_2/zinc/pyridine in acetonitrile is an effective catalyst for reactions of aryl and alkyl bromides with styrene and methyl acrylate. With styrene, the reaction yields vinyl hydrogen replacement (condensation) products, viz. stilbenes and <math>\beta$ -alkylstyrenes. Methyl acrylate reacts to give conjugated addition (hydrocondensation) products, methyl esters of  $\beta$ -aryl and  $\beta$ -alkyl propionic acids.

### Introduction

Arylation of vinyl compounds by aryl iodides and aryl bromides has been carried out in the presence of a palladium catalyst (usually palladium acetate), a tertiary phosphine and a base [1]. The reaction with aliphatic halides does not proceed under these conditions [2]. More recently, nickel complexes  $RNiBrL_2$  were shown to react stoichiometrically with vinyl compounds to give vinyl hydrogen replacement products [3]. As zinc powder is capable of recovering nickel catalysts in the homocoupling reaction of aryl bromides [4], we suggested that the system nickel complex/zinc powder could be used to catalyse the condensation of organic bromides with vinyl compounds.

# **Results and discussion**

We found that nickel complexes catalyse the condensation of styrenes with aryl [5] and alkyl [6] bromides in the presence of zinc and pyridine in acetonitrile.

$$RBr + CH_2 = CHC_6H_5 \xrightarrow[CH_3CN,C_5H_5]{L_2NiCl_2/Zn} RCH = CHC_6H_5$$
(1)

The reaction mechanism is probably similar to the generally accepted mechanism of the vinyl hydrogen replacement reaction in the presence of palladium complexes

0022-328X/88/\$03.50 © 1988 Elsevier Sequoia S.A.

# Table 1

No.	Styrene (mmol)	Zinc (mmol)	Pyridine (mmol)	Catalyst (mol.%)	Stilbene yield <sup>a</sup> (%)	DPB yield b (%)
1	4	1	4	5	92	25
2	4	1	4	10	100	25
3	4	1	4	2	64	19
4	4	1	4	5 <sup>c</sup>	75	26
5	2	1	4	5	75	11
6	1	1	4	5	36	5
7	4	5	4	5	100	37
8	4	2	4	5	100	31
9	4	0.5	4	5	80	23
10	4	0.25	4	5	38	12
11	4	1	8	5	90	9
12	4	1	0	5	50	55

Condensation of bromobenzene with styrene in the presence of different amounts of the catalyst, styrene, zinc and pyridine (bromobenzene, 1 mmol; CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 3 ml;  $65^{\circ}$ C, 4 h)

<sup>*a*</sup> GLC, based on bromobenzene. <sup>*b*</sup> GLC, based on styrene. <sup>*c*</sup> Catalyst: NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.05 mmol) and Ph<sub>3</sub>P (0.1 mmol).

[7] and includes the steps (a) reduction of NiCl<sub>2</sub> to Ni<sup>0</sup> by zinc; (b) oxidative addition of RBr to Ni<sup>0</sup>; (c) incorporation of PhCH=CH<sub>2</sub> at the C-Ni bond in RNiBrL<sub>2</sub>, the product of reaction b; and (d)  $\beta$ -elimination of the hydride nickel complex HNiBrL<sub>2</sub> from the intermediate RCH<sub>2</sub>CH(Ph)NiBrL<sub>2</sub>. Unlike HPdBrL<sub>2</sub>, the hydride nickel complex is probably more stable and catalyses the side reaction of styrene dimerization [8] to produce 1,3-diphenylbut-1-ene (DPB).

$$CH_2 = CHC_6H_5 \xrightarrow{HNiXL_2} CH_2CH(C_6H_5)CH = CHC_6H_5$$
(2)

The dependence of the yields of the major products on the reagent ratio was studied for the reaction of bromobenzene with styrene (Table 1).

Reaction 1 takes place readily in the presence of the complex  $(Ph_3P)_2NiCl_2$ introduced in an amount of 0.05 to 0.1 molar equivalent with respect to RBr (nos. 1 and 2 in Table 1). This catalyst can be replaced by a 1/2 mixture of NiCl<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O and Ph<sub>3</sub>P (No. 4). Excess styrene (Nos, 1, 5 and 6) and zinc (Nos. 1, 7 and 8) favour complete conversions, whereas decreasing the quantity of zinc to less than 0.5 molar equivalent causes a rapid decrease in the yield of stilbene (No. 10). It appears that zinc is required to recover Ni<sup>0</sup> from HNiBrL<sub>2</sub> in each catalytic cycle. This suggestion is substantiated by the isolation of Py<sub>2</sub>ZnBr<sub>2</sub> in stoichiometric quantities from reaction mixtures after the completion of the process [6c]. The addition of pyridine increases the yield of stilbene and decreases that of DPB (Nos. 11 and 12); that is, pyridine promotes reaction 1 and inhibits reaction 2. Strong organic bases such as Et<sub>3</sub>N completely suppress reaction 1. The reaction practically does not go at ambient temperature. At 85°C, the reaction mixture undergoes rapid blackening because of the formation of nickel metal.

Examples of the use of organic bromides in reaction 1 are given in Table 2. The reaction is an effective route to monosubstituted stilbenes via the condensation of substituted phenyl bromides with styrene (Nos. 14–21) in Table 2 or bromobenzene with substituted styrenes (Nos. 22 and 23). Substituents such as fluorine, chlorine,

7	
Table	

<u>ک</u>
4
-5
~
5, CH <sub>3</sub> CN, 65 <sup>°</sup>
Ę.
, CH <sub>3</sub> CN,
Ľ.
Ð.
<u>.</u>
3
9
\$
È.
1/2-4/1
j.
Z.
Ë,
÷.
ž
Lta.
ŝ
è
Ę.
Ĕ
Py
5
Ē.
~
ñe
j.
sty
2
9
C
ne
fe
sty
4
τi.
s
de
Ē
5
<u>р</u>
Ĕ
ga
5
õf
Ē
ti.
sa
en
pu
8
<u> </u>

No.	RBr	Styrene	Product	Yield (%) <sup>a</sup>	m.p. (°C) or	Refs.
					u.p. ( C/mmrg)	
13	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Br	CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	86 (100)	124	11
14	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H₄Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	77 (83)	119	12
15	3-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	3-McC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	(100)		
16	2-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	2-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(32)		
17	4-CIC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	4-CIC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> (I)	75 (87)	128	11
18	4-FC <sub>6</sub> H₄Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	4-FC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	70 (88)	124	11
19	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H,	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> (II)	67 (75)	136	11
20	4-MeCOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	4-MeCOC,H4CH=CHC,H,	60 (71)	141	13
21	4-MeOCOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	4-MeOCOC,H4CH=CHC,H,	51 (87)	157	13
22	C,H,Br	$CH_2 = CHC_6H_4Cl-4$	Ι	(65)		
23	C,H,Br	$CH_2 = CHC_6H_4OMe-4$	Π	(64)		
24	4-MeCOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	$CH_2 = CH_6H_4OMe-4$	4-MeCOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> OMe-4	48	174	14
25	cyclo-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> Br	$CH_2 = CHC_6H_5$	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH=CH-cyclo-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	65 (100)	108 - 110/4	15
26	3-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH=CHCH(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	45 (75)	94-96/4	6c
27	2-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH=CHCH(CH <sub>3</sub> )C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	58 (81)	72-76/4	6c
28	2-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH=CH(CH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>3</sub>	23 (50)	52-55/4	16
29	1-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	11 (36)	91-94/4	17
30	1-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> Br	CH <sub>2</sub> =CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH=CHC <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub>	15 (31)	66-71/4	18
a Vislas	a Vi-14- Land an BB- factor in					

" Yields based on RBr (values in parentheses are GLC yields).

hydrocarbon groups or groups containing oxygen do not interfere with the reaction, whereas groups containing nitrogen block the process. Aryl bromides are far more active than aryl chlorides. Chlorobenzene reacts with styrene to give DPB as the major product and stilbene in only a negligible amount (below 5%). The possibility of the preparation of disubstituted stilbenes is demonstrated by the reaction of 4-bromoacetophenone with 4-methoxystyrene (No. 24). Secondary alkyl bromides give much higher yields of  $\beta$ -alkylstyrenes (Nos. 26–28) compared with primary ones (Nos. 29 and 30). It appears that with secondary alkyl bromides, the conformation of the intermediate RCH<sub>2</sub>CH(Ph)NiBr<sub>2</sub> capable of  $\beta$ -elimination is formed less easily.

Reaction 1 is regio- and stereo-selective, for it only gives the products of styrene  $\beta$ -hydrogen replacement having the *E* configuration. All the stilbenes formed in this reaction are crystalline solids with m.p.s of *E* isomers. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the stilbenes and  $\beta$ -alkylstyrenes obtained are characterized by  $J(H_{\alpha}H_{\beta})$  spin-spin coupling constants of ca. 16 Hz, which is indicative of their *trans*-configuration. The most characteristic bands in the IR spectra substantiate disubstituted ethylene-type product structures with a *trans*-arrangement of the hydrogen atoms (962–967 cm<sup>-1</sup>).

With methylacrylate instead of styrene, the same reaction gives conjugated addition (hydrocondensation) products [9].

$$RBr + CH_2 = CHCOOMe \xrightarrow{L_2NiCl_2/Zn} RCH_2CH_2COOMe$$
(3)

Unlike styrene, methyl acrylate does not give the dimer. Biphenyl, however, appears in the absence of pyridine (Nos. 32–34, Table 3). Decreasing the amount of methyl acrylate results in a decrease in the yield of methyl-3-phenyl propionate (No. 32). The introduction of excess methyl acrylate or pyridine increases the yield substantially (Nos. 34 and 35). The reaction run with methyl acrylate, pyridine and acetonitrile freshly distilled over CaH<sub>2</sub> gave only 14% yield of methyl-3-phenylpropionate (No. 36), whereas the addition of water (1.5 molar equivalents) to reagents dried in this way increased the yield to 48% (No. 37). Thus "the worse, the better" (the drier the reagents, the poorer the yield). The addition of a large excess of D<sub>2</sub>O to a dried or non-dried reaction mixture causes the formation of  $\beta$ -deuterated

No.	Bromobenzene (mmol)	Methyl acrylate (mmol)	Pyridine (mmol)	Water (mmol)	Methyl-3-phenyl propionate yield " (%)	Biphenyl yield <sup>a</sup> (%)
31	1	4	4	Ь	74	0
32	1	1	0	ь	9	13
33	1.5	1	0	b	10	65
34	1	4	0	ь	47	7
35	1	1	4	ь	50	0
36	1	4	4	С	14	0
37	1	4	4	1.5 °	48	0

Condensation of bromobenzene with methyl acrylate in the presence of different amounts of bromobenzene, methyl acrylate, pyridine and water (zinc, 1 mmol; catalyst, 0.05 mmol;  $CH_2CN$ , 3 ml; 65 °C; 4 h)

<sup>a</sup> GLC, based on bromobenzene. <sup>b</sup> Non-dried reagents. <sup>c</sup> Dried reagents.

Table 3

#### Table 4

No.	RBr	Product	Yield (%)	m.p. (°C) or b.p. (°C/mmHg)	Refs.
38	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Br <sup><i>a</i></sup>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COOMe	57 (74) <sup>b</sup>	68-70/2	19
39	4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COOMe	30 (45)	85-86/2	20
40	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COOMe	62 (82)	35-36	21
41	4-MeOCOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br	4-MeOCOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COOMe	55 (72)	29-30	22
42	cyclo-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> Br	cyclo-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COOMe	36 (55)	82-83/4	23
43	$2 - C_5 H_{11} Br$	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> CH(CH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COOMe	41 (60)	65-66/10	24

Hydrocondensation of organic bromides with methyl acrylate (RBr/methyl acrylate/zinc/pyridine/ catalyst = 1/4/1/4/0.05, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 65°C, 4 h, non-dried reagents)

<sup>a</sup> The addition of  $D_2O$  (10 mol. equivalents) results in the formation of  $C_6H_5CH_2CHDCOOMe$ . <sup>b</sup> The yields are based on RBr (values in parentheses are GLC yields).

methyl-3-phenyl propionate as the only product, whereas the use of  $CD_3CN$  or  $C_5D_5N$  does not result in deuteration. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of non-deuterated methyl-3-phenyl propionate contains two distorted triplets corresponding to  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -protons (with respect to the carbomethoxy group). In the spectrum of the deuterated compound, the lower field triplet of the  $\beta$ -protons is transformed into a doublet, the doublet to triplet intensity ratio being 2/1. The observations show that the presence of water is necessary for successfully carrying out reaction 3.

Examples of the use of various aryl and alkyl bromides in reaction 3 are given in Table 4.

Reaction 3 probably follows the mechanism of reaction 1, including the step of the formation of the intermediate  $RCH_2CH(Z)NiBrL_2$ . With styrene (Z = Ph),  $\beta$ -elimination of hydrogen follows, whereas with methyl acrylate (Z = COOMe), the intermediate compound undergoes protonation at the C-Ni bond to give a saturated product. In the latter case, it is not impossible that stability towards  $\beta$ -elimination depends on the formation of a coordination bond between nickel and the oxygen of the carbomethoxy group which hinders the elimination proceeding with the participation of a vacancy in the nickel coordination sphere.

A further study is needed to determine the forms in which nickel occurs after the reaction event and the mechanism of their recovery to produce the catalytically active form.

Recently, a similar catalytic system was used to study the condensation of bromobenzene and styrene and methyl acrylate in THF [10]. Unlike the reaction studied in this work, that reported by Boldrini et al. [10] was claimed to give both saturated and unsaturated products from methyl acrylate. Also, the participation of water in the formation of the saturated product was rejected.

## Experimental

Aryl and alkyl bromides were used without further purification. Pyridine and acetonitrile were dried over KOH and  $CaCl_2$ , respectively, and distilled over  $CaH_2$  in an argon flow. The complex  $(Ph_3P)_2NiCl_2$  was prepared following a known procedure [25]. 4-Chloro- and 4-methoxy-styrenes were made by coupling 4-chloro- and 4-methoxyphenyl-magnesium bromides with vinyl bromide [26]. All reactions were performed in an argon atmosphere. GLC analyses were done on a Tsvet-110

chromatograph (column  $2000 \times 3$  mm, 3% SE-30 on Chromaton-N-super, gas carrier helium, naphthalene as internal reference). <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Tesla instrument (100 MHz). All the products isolated have been described earlier (see the refs. in Tables 2 and 4).

4-Methoxystilbene. A mixture of 4-bromoanisole (0.5 ml, 4 mmol), styrene (1.84 ml, 16 mmol), pyridine (1.28 ml, 16 mmol) and zinc powder (0.26 g, 4 mmol) in 12 ml of acetonitrile was blown through by argon for 10 min. The complex  $(Ph_3P)_2NiCl_2$  (0.13 g, 0.2 mmol) was then added and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at 65 °C. The products were transferred to a separating funnel, decomposed with 4% HCl, and extracted with ether. The ether layer was dried over CaCl<sub>2</sub>, the solvent was distilled off, and the residue was recrystallized from methanol to obtain 4-methoxystilbene (0.5 g, 67%), m.p. 135 °C [11].

*1-Phenyl-3-methylhex-1-ene.* 1-Phenyl-3-methylhex-1-ene (1.53 g, 58%, b.p.  $72-76 \degree C/4 mmHg$  [6c]) was prepared from 2-bromopentane (1.9 ml, 15 mmol) as described above. This product was isolated by distillation.

Methyl-4-methylheptanoate. Argon blown for 10 min through a mixture of acetonitrile (20 ml), methyl acrylate prepared by shaking with water in a separating funnel (3.62 ml, 40 mmol), 2-bromopentane (1.26 ml, 10 mmol), pyridine (3.2 ml, 40 mmol) and zinc powder (0.65 g, 10 mmol). The complex  $(Ph_3P)_2NiCl_2$  was then added, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at 65° C. The products were decomposed with 4% HCl and extracted with ether in a separating funnel; the ether extracts were dried over CaCl<sub>2</sub>; ether was distilled off; and the residue was subjected to distillation to obtain methyl-4-methyl heptanoate (0.65 g, 41%), b.p. 65–66° C/10 mmHg [24].

# References

- 1 (a) R.F. Heck, Org. Reactions, 27 (1982) 345; (b) A. Spencer, J. Organomet. Chem., 258 (1983) 101.
- 2 R.F. Heck, J.P. Nolley, J. Org. Chem., 37 (1972) 2320.
- 3 S. Otsuka, A. Nakamura, T. Yoshida, M. Naruto, K. Ataka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 3180.
- 4 M. Zembayashi, K. Tamao, J. Yoshida, M. Kumada, Tetrahedron Lett., (1977) 4089.
- 5 (a) S.A. Lebedev, V.S. Lopatina, E.S. Petrov, I.P. Beletskaya, Abstracts of Papers, IUPAC VI International conference on organic synthesis, August 10–15, 1986, Moscow, USSR, p. 207; (b) S.A. Lebedev, V.S. Lopatina, R.S. Sorokina, S.S. Berestova, E.S. Petrov, I.P. Beletskaya, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., in press.
- 6 S.A. Lebedev, V.S. Lopatina, R.R. Shifrina, E.S. Petrov, I.P. Beletskaya, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., (1983) 2414; (b) S.A. Lebedev, V.S. Lopatina, E.S. Petrov, I.P. Beletskaya, Theses of International Symposium on Homogeneous Catalysis, September 24–28, 1984, Leningrad, USSR, vol. 1, p. 274; (c) S.A. Lebedev, V.S. Luk'yanova, S.S. Berestova, R.R. Shifrina, N.N. Shapetko, E.S. Petrov, I.P. Beletskaya, Zh. Org. Khim., 21 (1985) 721.
- 7 H.A. Dieck, R.F. Heck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 133.
- 8 I.L. Redkina, K.L. Makovetskii, E.I. Tinyakova, B.A. Dolgoplosk, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 186 (1969) 397.
- 9 S.A. Lebedev, V.S. Lopatina, S.S. Berestova, E.S. Petrov, I.P. Beletskaya, Zh. Org. Khim., 22 (1986) 1374.
- 10 G.P. Boldrini, D. Savoia, E. Tagliavini, C. Tromboni, A. Umani Ronchi, J. Organomet. Chem., 301 (1986) C62.
- 11 H. Gunsten, M. Zalzwedel, Tetrahedron, 23 (1967) 173.
- 12 L. Zechmeister, W.H. McNeely, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 64 (1942) 1919.
- 13 J.A.R. Kon, J. Chem. Soc., (1948) 224.
- 14 W.I. Dale, H.E. Hennis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81 (1959) 2143.
- 15 G. Heublein, D. Staderman, J. Prakt. Chem., 312 (1970) 1121.

- 16 W.E. Harvey, J. Chem. Soc., (1958) 2060.
- 17 R.Y. Mixer, W.G. Young, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78 (1956) 3379.
- 18 C.S. Marvell, F.D. Hager, D.D. Cofman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 49 (1927) 2326.
- 19 A.I. Vogel, J. Chem. Soc., (1948) 654.
- 20 S.L. Spassov, S.D. Simova, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., (1978) 1113.
- 21 G.R. Ramage, R. Robinson, J. Chem. Soc., (1933) 607.
- 22 W.S. Emerson, R.A. Heimsh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 72 (1950) 5152.
- 23 F. Huqette, B. Derion, R. Lalande, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, (1970) 617.
- 24 C.P. Casey, C.R. Cyr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 2240.
- 25 L.M. Venanzi, J. Chem. Soc., (1958) 719.
- 26 K. Tamao, K. Sumitani, Y. Kiso, M. Zembayashi, A. Fujioka, S. Kodama, I. Nakajima, A. Minato, M. Kumada, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 49 (1976) 1958.